

Be advised that I, a high-income, college educated, business professional, am not clearly informed about the proposed changes to the albeit longstanding communications laws, let alone the potential impact to the accuracy, relevancy and the use of "business reasons" for programming and content found in and surrounding the delivery of local, national and international news. If I were a resident within 100 miles of our nation's capital perhaps I would have been a part of public hearings or at least heard of the actions that are being proposed which will effect our entire population. As a note, the chairman's comments to our various representatives, voicing concern over the timeliness and completeness of the biannual review, specifically the chairman's noting of the never before seen number of public comments, is naive at the least. To minimize the importance of geographic variety of the population as a variable and rely solely on the weight of other variables is part of a very weak argument. I will be contacting my local, state and national representatives to convey my concern that major changes to my information links to news have not been appropriately conveyed to myself nor my peers. To make these changes without documentable and statistical analysis including all the peoples you are charged with protecting is a flagrant misuse of power. Chairman, your response to our elected officials concerns regarding this review, reeks of defensiveness. These comments mirror rebuttals found in high-school debates.